

Police report regarding J.D. (Admn.) of DoP

1689. SHRI ABANI ROY: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT & POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to refer to reply to Unstarred Question 75 given in Rajya Sabha on 29th November, 1999 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that police report which is a main factor of the reply of the said question was *ab-initio* wrong;

(b) whether it is also a fact that some Members of Parliament have also written on 31st March with evidence/proofs on the said issue; and

(c) if so, the action taken by Government thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI BANDARU DATTATRAYA): (a) to (c) Reply to the Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 75 on 29.11.1999 was furnished on the basis of the Report No. 3091 SHO/T-Rd dated 25.11.99 received from the Station House Officer, Tuglak Road Police Station, New Delhi.

Subsequently, Shri Kariya Munda, Member of Parliament (Lok Sabha) furnished a copy of the Demi-Official letter dated 29.3.2000 received by him from the Joint Commissioner of Police (Vigilance), New Delhi, which states that the jurisdiction of the complaint did not belong to Tuglak Road Police Station. Thereafter, it has been further reported by the Deputy Commissioner of Police (Vigilance), New Delhi on 4.8.2000 that:—

1. He (SHO, Police Station, Tuglak Road) received a letter from Deputy Director (Vigilance), Directorate of Printing, Government of India for conducting enquiry into the affidavit submitted by Smt. Rita Rani. He, therefore, conducted enquiry and after the enquiry he came to know that the jurisdiction falls in Police Station, Kamla Market. Prior to the enquiry, it was not possible for him to know the jurisdiction.
2. During the course of enquiry it was revealed that the matter relates to the Government of India Press, Minto Road, New

Delhi which falls in the jurisdiction of police station, Kamla Market. Therefore, he was not competent for conducting further investigation. Hence, he filed the same stating that no cognizable offence is made out in the jurisdiction of Police Station. Tuglak Road.

Decision on Unauthorised Colonies

1690. SHRIMATI AMBIKA SONI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government had consultations with the concerned authorities like Delhi Government, DDA and MCD before taking the decision of regularizing the unauthorised colonies numbering 1071 in Delhi, which came up before 1993;

(b) if so, the details of the views expressed by the DDA and MCD authorities and Delhi Government;

(c) the impact on the commercial and residential projects on land under acquisition of the DDA at present;

(d) whether Government are considering a proposal to decide the fate of the unauthorised colonies in Delhi, which come up after 1993; and

(e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI JAGMOHAN): (a) to (e) In early 1993, Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi decided to recommend to the Government of India for regularisation of unauthorised colonies in Delhi which had come up before March 31, 1993. Soon thereafter, a public interest writ petition was filed by the Common Cause in the Delhi High Court against this proposal recommendations. The High Court in its interim orders, stayed action or decision by the Government for regularising these colonies.

On the directions of the court, a High Powered Committee headed by Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development was constituted